#### Grammar:

## Error Complex verb group Subordinate clause

# **General Description**

Error (4 times)

Missing article: 2 times (between other women ^majority of the time, should be ^distinction)

Inappropriate verb form: 1 time (would be flying)

Redundant relative pronoun: 1 time

Complex verb group (9 times)

Emphatic 'do': 2 times Present continuous: 3 times

Passive: 4 times

Subordinate clause (31 times)

Noun clause: 17 times Relative clause: 9 times Adverbial clause: 5 times

### Lexical Resource:

Less common words Paraphrase Idiomatic expressions Collocations

Appropriacy of the words used

**General Description** 

Less common words: 19 less common words are identified (disparity, exacerbate, hubris, capitalist society, moral standard, professionalism, code of conduct, violation, disrespectful, revealing, masculine, feminine, alluring, co-workers, appropriacy, individualistic, distinction).

Paraphrase: 6 paraphrases are identified.

Popular → popularity

Afford→buy→purchase

designer clothing → designer goods

a negative thing→epidemic

certain standard→a model of appropriacy→limits

employees → adult population

Idiomatic expressions: no idiomatic expression is identified.

Collocations: 5 collocations are identified (set us apart, setting themselves apart, binding themselves to society, spheres of human interaction, as far as a uniform goes).

Appropriacy of the words used: 7 inappropriate uses of words are coded.

Inappropriate preposition: 4 times (is in positive or negative, in the end of the day, based in, on

adult population)

Inappropriate word choice: 1 time (too redundant)

Unclear meaning: 2 times (I don't think it's *epidemic*, *unanimising* all spheres of human interaction)

### E-Examiner S-Student

E: So we've been talking about the type of clothing that you like to wear. And now I'd like to ask you some more general questions related to that. Let's consider, first of all, designer clothing. Are designer clothes popular in your country?

S: Well, yes as the short answer. The longer answer would be that there's a very strong class [SUB-noun clause]

disparity, so the rich are the richest and the poor are the poorest. And so to kind of exacerbate that "oh, I am better than you", people do like to buy designer clothing as more of a statement, [SUB-noun clause] [CVG-emphatic 'do']

rather than fashion choice. So that would be the reason for the popularity.

E: So, apart from the status issue. Why do you think people like to wear designer clothing?

S: Humans are creatures of hubris. I feel like we need things that not only set us apart but also make us conform to our larger society. And designer clothing, in a way, does do that because, [SUB-noun clause] [CVG-emphatic 'do']

well, no ... not everybody can afford it or wants to buy or wants to purchase it. There is that [SUB-adverbial clause]

class of people who do, so while they are setting themselves apart,

[SUB-relative clause] [SUB-adverbial clause] [CVG-present continuous]

they are still binding themselves to society.

[CVG-present continuous]

And I feel like designer, not clothing, designer goods themselves that kind of exacerbate that phenomena of "I am unique, but just like everybody else".

[SUB-noun clause]

E: Do you think that the world would be better off without designer clothing, since they seem to be taking advantage of less than desirable human qualities?

S: Well, is that a bad thing, though? You know, we ... I feel in a larger capitalist society, you can't really say whether or not the distribution of a specific type of good is in positive or [SUB-noun clause] [is positive or negative]

negative. But at the end of the day, I feel like we, we have this moral standard where if you're [SUB-noun clause]

proud and that's a negative thing. Maybe that's just how some people choose to live another life. [SUB-noun clause]

They're spending their money on things that don't really matter. And then that is, in the end of [CVG-present continuous] [SUB-relative clause] [at the end of the day]

the day, their loss. So I don't think it's ... epidemic.

[SUB-noun clause] [the meaning is unclear, *suggestion: problematic*]

E: Let's turn now to the clothes that people wear to work. Do you think people at work make judgments about their colleagues based on the type of clothing they wear?

```
S: Yes, again, short answer. Longer answer would be, this is very true, at least in the more
                                                    [SUB-noun clause]
Eastern hemisphere, where there's the concept of professionalism and a code of conduct
                      [SUB-relative clause]
as you're moving in and out of work and in violation of that is seen as either disrespectful or
[SUB-adverbial clause]
                                                      [CVG-passive]
inappropriate or both. And I feel that the thing that you just said about judgment, I think it's
                                               [SUB-relative clause]
more based in how women dress, and the judgment is passed, based on ... between other women
[CVG-passive] [based on] [SUB-noun clause] [CVG-passive]
^majority of the time, as to what is considered revealing too masculine or too feminine or is it
[the majority of the time] [SUB-noun clause] [CVG-passive]
appropriate enough for that workplace or is it alluring to the male co-workers. I feel like that is
often a, a topic of conversation at least in the background.
[SUB-noun clause]
E: Some employers actually set a certain standard and even require their employees to wear a
uniform. Do you think that people should be forced to wear certain clothes at work?
S: I feel like there can be a model of appropriacy because at the same time kind of
              [SUB-noun clause]
                                                 [SUB-*
unanimising all spheres of human interaction
[the meaning is unclear, suggestion: achieving unanimity in all spheres of human interaction]
into one saying that "oh, I should be allowed to wear what I want" is, is, is a little bit of an
                 [SUB-noun clause]
                                                                         *adverbial clause]
individualistic concept, which I don't think would be flying in at least this part of the world. I
                        [SUB-relative clause] [would fly]
think there should be limits inside of which people can dress the way they want as long as they
    [SUB-noun clause]
                                   [SUB-relative clause]
                                                                 [SUB-relative clause]
address the fact that they are in a different space than, say, in their bedroom, or out with a friend
                 [SUB-noun clause]
and there should be 'distinction like that in the workplace. As far as a uniform goes, I feel like
                 [a distinction]
that is a little too redundant on an adult population. I feel like they should know to dress
[SUB-noun clause] [prescriptive] [for]
                                                              [SUB-noun clause]
themselves in a way that it doesn't display social class. But again, that could be a problem
                       [SUB-relative clause]
given that they don't.
[SUB-adverbial clause]
```

E: Alright. Thank you very much. That's the end of the test.